

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/975,806	10/11/2001	Jeffrey F. Krizan	ITOCHU P-1 / 500921.20001	9185	
•	7590 03/17/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
REED SMITH, LLP ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT			MANLOVE, SHALIE A		
	TON AVENUE, 29TH FLO	OOR	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK,	NY 10022-7650		1755		
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/975, 806	KRIZAN, JEFFREY	F			
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shalie A. Manlove	1755				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment whi eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper repich places the application	ply to a cation in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 insion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. Solution  136(a) and the appropriate extended the inal Office action: or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 December 2003</u> .  37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	l within the period so of the appeal.	et forth in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);				
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	below);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the			
(d) _ they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been consections	sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or bjould be rejected is provided belo	)⊠ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		• •				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl	roved or b)☐ disapproved by (	the Examiner.				
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer						
0. Other:	(A)	<del></del> '				
		LISSA KOSLOW				
	C. ME PRIM	ARY EXAMINER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendment overcomes the 35 USC 112 rejection only, the 35 USC 102 and 103 rejections are maintained.